BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No. \\\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Intensions Commans	09/084,691 BUKH ET AL
Interview Summary	Examiner Art Unit Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas
DTC	Nacronoli, Alian Maria M
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personner).
1) Zachariah Lucas	(3)
2) <u>Nancy W. Vensko</u> .	(4)
Data of Intensions 10 July 2005	
Date of Interview: <u>19 July 2005</u> .	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: 32.	
dentification of prior art discussed: <u>Simmonds et al., J Ge</u>	en Virol 74: 667-6814 (* 1945)
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached h) N/A
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Shee	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was t
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the clain copy of the amendments that would render the claims
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attache	ed.)
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE	ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE
SIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OF	ne last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT	FOF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW./See
Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	side or on attached sheet.
and the second of the second o	
5000 Carlon (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995) (1995)	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1,133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner called Applicant to indicate that the claims drawn to peptides of SEQ ID NO: 206, and to universally conserved peptides according to (e.g.) claim 38 were allowable, but that the claims drawn to genotype specific peptides were not in condition for allowance. In particular, the Examiner pointed out the Simmonds reference which disclosed a peptide (page 665, Figure 3(b), peptide of virus EG-21) of type 4 comprising a T in the postion corresponding to residue 20 of SEQ ID NO: 206. The peptide therefore (1) anticipated claim 32 as drafted, and indicated that the Applicant was not enabled for a genotype specific peptide to gentype 6 comprising this T because the Simmonds reference identifies this residue as present also in type 4.

During a discussion of possible amendments to the claims to avoid these rejections, it was suggested that claim 32 be amended to read on peptides comprising at least 8 contiguous residues of SEQ ID NO: 206, wherein the peptides comprise a fragment of SEQ ID NO: 206 having at least one of the following residues: Q72, H75, H106, A149, and I158.

It was agreed the claim 32 would be amended to read as follows:

32. An isolated genotype-specific peptide comprising an amino acid sequence of at least 8 contiguous amino acids from SEQ ID NO: 206, said peptide having at least one of the following residues: Q72, H75, H106, A149, and I158; and wherein genotype-specific is defined as belonging to the single genotype 6a (type 6) of HCV. It was also agreed that:

claim 33 would be amended to replace the phrase "a single genotype" in line 1 with the phrase - - the single genotype 6a (type 6)- - ; and

claim 38 would be amended to insert the term - - consecutive- - between the phrases "at least 8" and the term amino acids" in line 2 of the claim, and that "Figure 7J" in line 4 of the claim would be amended to read - - 7K- -.